	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/811,071	FENNEY ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DANIEL F. HAJNIK	2628
l Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>DANIEL F. HAJNIK</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Terryence F. Chapman</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>29 June 2010</u>	Time: <u>10AM</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 32 and 35		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Examiner called applicant about making mirror changes to the c to changes	laims to put case in condition for a	allowance and applicant agreed
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writt	en summary of the substance
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa		
(	Applicant/Applicant's Representa	tive Signature – if appropriate)